# NORTH PARISHES: PROPOSED WAITING RESTRICTIONS

### **COMMENTS OF OBJECTION AND SUPPORT**

#### **Contents**

Box	
Hilmarton	(
Lydiard Millicent	-
Purton	1:
Sherston	14

### 13 Comments received (3 objecting, 7 supporting and 3 commenting on)

Breakdown by road				
Parish	Objections	Support	Comments	
Box	1	0	0	
Hilmarton	1	5	0	
Lydiard Millicent	1	1	2	
Purton	0	1	0	
Sherston	0	0	1	

# **Box**

Ref	Comment received	Number of Times Received	Officer Comment
B1	No Support from local parish council for scheme  The Box Parish Council discussed the proposed change in the parking bays outside the Methodist Church at its meeting on 26th October and voted unanimously that they did not wish to support the proposal to implement the disabled parking bay and wish the parking to be left as it is at present.	1	Following advertisement and subsequent meetings of Box Parish Council it has been decided that the existing parking arrangement shall be left as it is with no further changes.  No further representation was received.

### **Hilmarton**

Ref	Comment received	Number of Times Received	Officer Comment
H1	Proposed Restrictions will not be enforced properly  The TRO 'No Waiting' Hilmarton in the section marked will be pointless as will not be followed unless police are in village as this is prime school pick up location.	1	Any new restrictions implemented are passed to Wiltshire Council's parking enforcement team to monitor and enforce.  It is recognised that with limited resources the parking wardens cannot be everywhere at any one time, but will use a targeted approach, in patrolling the area at key times.
H2	Proposed parking Restrictions will lead to an increase in parking pressure elsewhere in the parish.  If it is followed then it will just cause traffic congestion and pressure in other areas of the village. Namely Compton Road from the blind bend by Post Office Cottage along Compton Road.	1	It is understood that with any new parking restrictions there will be an element of displaced vehicles elsewhere.  The proposed restrictions in Hilmarton are attempting to remove vehicles from a known trouble spot. Wiltshire Council cannot pre-empt where vehicles may park once displaced.
Н3	The proposed restrictions are being placed on a quiet road where there is little need compared to other locations.  The area highlighted in Church Road is actually fairly quiet compared to Compton Road where there is not a 'No Waiting' limit in place an where the road is only one car width wide.	1	The request for proposed parking restrictions at this location came about from the Town Centre Parking Review and was highlighted by the local council as a problem location.  No comparison is undertaken alongside other nearby roads in determining which road would benefit from such a scheme.

Ref	Comment received	Number of Times Received	Officer Comment
H4	Further Restrictions are required within the Parish	2	When a Traffic Regulation is advertised for public comment, it is not possible, within the Procedure Regulations to alter a proposed restriction to one of
	If 'No Waiting' is introduced for Church Road it also needs to be in place for Compton Road from the School (junction of Church Road) to 27 Compton Road to account for the narrow road and the speed of which vehicles come around the blind bend by post office cottage (opposite the school and Church Road junction).		a greater severity (ie: further restrictions, longer hours) without recommencing the legal procedure by consulting and re-advertising the restrictions.
	The need for 'No Waiting' from the junction of Church Road to 27 Compton Road is actually greater than in Church Road as there is only one vehicle width. Vehicles regularly wait here impeding through traffic including emergency services vehicles and any other delivery or farming services operating in the village as well as residents just trying to reach their homes.		
	I also think that double yellow lines should be considered for the area around the triangular traffic island behind the bus stop as people often park here, right up to the junction with the A3102 in both directions. This occurs most at school drop off/ collection times, a car park in Poynder Place is provided, please see attached stills on word document.		

Ref	Comment received	Number of Times Received	Officer Comment
Н5	Support	5	Comments of Support are Noted.
	I support the proposed parking restrictions in Hilmarton. Cars parked along this area of road make it difficult for larger delivery/refuse vehicles to gain access. They also pose a risk when vehicles have to pass them on the wrong side of the narrow bending road.  My wife and I fully support the 'No Waiting' proposal in Church Road, Hilmarton. This area has for years been a serious problem with inconsiderate parking, obstructing the footpath, dangerous parking on the narrow bend and school children risking serious injury running across the road to and from parents vehicles. We live next to the school and witness these actions on a daily basis.  I am writing to show my support for the proposed traffic regulations, my father lives in Lammas close. In the past my parents were left without an oil fuel delivery because the tanker was unable to negotiate Church road. Obviously lots of vehicles are in the area at school times, some seem oblivious to sensible parking, recently i was unable to negotiate the corner in my car as bad parking and a large vehicle delivering had caused a complete roadblock. i feel that maybe something more sinister could be caused without some restrictions being in place, also this would need policing.		
	I am writing in support of the proposal for no waiting at any time restrictions to be imposed in Hilmarton map schedule		

Ref	Comment received	Number of Times Received	Officer Comment
	ref CM59. I have elderly parents who live in Lammas Close and often it is difficult, sometimes impossible for emergency vehicles or waste disposal vehicles to access Lammas Close due to the inconsiderate parking of residents or visitors to the school or people parking on the pavements in this area making it very dangerous for pedestrians when walking out into the road on this corner. I would hope this proposal will be accepted and funding is granted for this site.		
	I fully support the proposed traffic order, Map file NWPA2017PARS, map schedule CM59. There have been many occasions where vehicles are forced into the path of oncoming traffic due to the restricted carriageway when vehicles are parked in the proposed area. Refuge and delivery lorries have also been affected with missed calls.		

## **Lydiard Millicent**

Ref	Comment received	Number of Times Received	Officer Comment
LM1	Increased pressure on parking close to the local church  When these parking restrictions come into place, during school transport times it will put extra pressure on the ability to park close to the double gates at All Saints Church, Lydiard Millicent particularly for disabled people.	1	Whilst there are proposed double yellow lines on the road immediately outside of the church there are still numerous locations for vehicles to park nearby, with car parks, laybys and also the single yellow lines which are not enforceable 24/7.  Disabled drivers with blue badges are also able to park on double yellow lines as long as they are not causing an obstruction.
LM2	Request for official disabled parking space  The DCC requests that an official disabled parking space of adequate width is clearly marked out close to the gates.	1	When a Traffic Regulation is advertised for public comment, it is not possible, within the Procedure Regulations to alter a proposed restriction to one of a greater severity (ie: further restrictions, longer hours) without recommencing the legal procedure by consulting and re-advertising the restrictions.  The installation of a new official disabled parking bay would be an increased proposal. There is potential that an advisory disabled parking bay could be located nearby which does not carry the associated legal order but still offers an advisory parking bay for disabled users.

Ref	Comment received	Number of Times Received	Officer Comment
LM3	Proposed Restrictions will just lead to increased pressure elsewhere in the parish.  The problem as I understand it, is that parent's park inconsiderately along the roads in question causing serious traffic congestion. Looking at your proposals, I can't help feeling that all that will happen is that the problem will move along the road to the other side of the restriction.  I would anticipate that if these parking restrictions were imposed, more parents would drive up and down the Butts to drop off and collect pupils	2	It is recognised that with any new parking restrictions there will be an element of displaced vehicles elsewhere.  The proposed restrictions in Lydiard Millicent are attempting to remove vehicles from a known trouble spot. Wiltshire Council cannot pre-empt where vehicles may park once displaced.  Lydiard Green acts as a busy through road in Lydiard Millicent, the proposed restrictions will ensure the road remains clear and passable at peak times.
LM4	The landlord of the local pub is quite happy for people to park in the car park.  The landlord at the Sun Inn is prepared to make his overflow car park available, which has a huge amount of space. I know he has had trouble with parents blocking people in the main car park (not the overflow one). There is a cleared footpath running from the overflow car park, behind the industrial buildings and onto the pavement leading to the school. Driving parents need to be persuaded to use this.	1	It is not for Wiltshire Council to find or advise people where to park. Whilst the local landlord may be happy with the car park being used this would need to be a local agreement and not something that Wiltshire Council would advertise.

Ref	Comment received	Number of Times Received	Officer Comment
LM5	Proposed Restrictions need to be extended further  May I respectfully suggest that the timed parking restrictions be extended further along the road both towards Lydiard Green and The Street?	1	When a Traffic Regulation is advertised for public comment, it is not possible, within the Procedure Regulations to alter a proposed restriction to one of a greater severity (i.e. further restrictions, longer hours) without recommencing the legal procedure by consulting and re-advertising the restrictions.
LM6	The proposed restrictions will force parents dropping children off at the school further away  Parents will be forced to park on other roads, further from the school when dropping off and collecting children to/from the school. There are no safe pedestrian footpaths to and from the school to all parts of the village. Pupils would have to walk further on un safe routes and potentially cross more roads in order to access the school.	1	The locations where parking restrictions are being proposed are not safe or advisable parking locations along a busy road.  Any new restrictions will lead to a displacement in parking. The new restrictions do not continue for the duration of Lydiard Green but do leave a section where potential parking may take place on a straight section of road with better visibility.
LM7	Proposed Restrictions will lead to increase speeds of vehicles travelling through the parish.  If congestion is reduced (which I am not convinced it will be) then traffic will be travelling at faster speeds through this area again increasing the risk of injury to parents and pupils.	1	It is recognised that parked cars can act as a traffic calming solution in places, and that in some cases the removal of parked cars may lead to increased speeds.  It should however be recognised that the location in which the restrictions are being proposed already has extensively traffic calming measures in place to reduce traffic speed.

Ref	Comment received	Number of Times Received	Officer Comment
LM8	A report should be constructed outlining the proposed restrictions which can then be examined by the school and an appropriate way forward  I would also request that the council send a report to the school that details the impact on pupil safety of these proposals so that the school can properly asses its response to these proposals and that it can use to show the regulators that it is meeting it's pupil safeguarding responsibilities.	1	This report is being generated in response to objections being received to the advertised parking restrictions. This report will outline the objections received and the Officer comment to such objections.  The school has a travel plan which actively encourages walking to school where possible. It is understood that the locations closest to the school are part of the proposed traffic order; however, there are numerous other locations for parents to park where children can still use the safe walking routes.
LM9	The introduction of parking restrictions in this area will decrease the attractiveness of the local school  Reducing the attractiveness of the school by imposing these parking restrictions will make it more difficult to continue to attract the pupil numbers we currently have, which in turn increases the risk of further funding cuts (if pupil numbers were to decline) and therefore further cuts in provision, which in turn jeopardises the standard of education it can provide, its attractiveness and that pupil numbers will drop further and eventually it's long term financial viability if this vicious cycle were to arise. I would have thought that the village would want to do what it can to help the school continue to thrive and be viable. This proposal will make this harder for the school to achieve its aims and remain viable.	1	The proposed restrictions have not been developed to decrease the attractiveness of any local school but rather to improve road safety and remove congestion in a recognised trouble location.  Furthermore, the restrictions were proposed and progressed by the local parish council who act on behalf of the local community. Through engagement with parish council it is understood that the local community is very keen for restrictions to be implemented.

Ref	Comment received	Number of Times Received	Officer Comment
LM10	No formal consultation with the school prior to the advertisement of the proposal  I note that the school has not been formally consulted with regards to this proposal. As it is a key stakeholder in this proposal I think that the school should be formally consulted in order to ensure that this consultation process is and is seen to be open and legitimate. Particularly given the school's responsibilities for pupil safeguarding. I would like to suggest that you formally consult with the school and the governors on this matter before making a decision and that you send the school a full report that shows the impact on pupil safety of these proposals so that the school can make an informed response to this consultation.	1	In terms of consulting with the public we publish a public notice within a newspaper circulating within the area (specified in the Regulations), we ensure maximum circulation of this by using the figures of highest selling publication within the area to which the Traffic Regulation Order relates.  The school and any connections with the school can see and comment on the proposals as can anyone else with a vested interest in the proposals.
<b>LM</b> 11	Support  I was extremely encouraged to see the proposals by the Council. As a resident of Lydiard Green the behaviour of parents dropping their children to the school have become extremely dangerous for other road users and the number of near misses that I have personally witnessed informs me that we will undoubtable experience a tragedy in the near.  The traffic jams caused by people unwilling to walk or	1	Comments of Support are Noted.

Ref	Comment received	Number of Times Received	Officer Comment
	leverage the local parking opportunities provided to help them, is causing severe tailbacks and gridlock. During the proposed periods the route is also used by numerous coaches and they are presented with a near impossible task in passing through the bottleneck created by the inconsiderate parking.		

# **Purton**

Ref	Comment received	Number of Times Received	Officer Comment
	Support  I am very happy with the proposals for Purton and I know that there are residents who will be very pleased to see these proposals, particularly the Smith Court double yellow lines as they have difficulty in getting sightlines to access the main road. Dogridge junction with Pavenhill will hopefully stop the vehicles parking in the wide pavement at the junction as again these vehicles block sightlines. The area around Pavenhill junction with the High Street is a big problem and traffic park on the existing double yellow lines and at the junctions with other roads. There seems a total blank in the understanding of the driver to where it is safe to park or where they can park. We really need some regular enforcement here with tickets being issued to get the message out. The Peak is a big problem for school traffic so again potentially very welcomed.	1	Comments of Support are Noted.

## **Sherston**

Ref	Comment received	Number of Times Received	Officer Comment
S1	Proposed Restrictions will lead to increased parking pressure elsewhere in the parish which will need to be monitored.  I have no objections to the proposal for the restriction on the inner bend of the junction with Cliff Road and Sliver Street. However it will no doubt cause a knock on effect with parking in the area which is at a premium, it could lead to potential conflict and more anti-social parking problems. This will need to be monitored.	1	It is recognised that with any new parking restrictions there will be an element of displaced vehicles elsewhere.  The proposed restrictions in Sherston are attempting to remove vehicles from a known trouble spot. Wiltshire Council cannot pre-empt where vehicles may park once displaced.